# Evaluation Feedback – Asuncion Aseko

## Evaluation Summary

Asuncion, your work on the NIST CSF 2.0 outline clearly shows that you stepped into the Writer/Editor role with intention and care. The structure of your team’s document was consistent, clean, and easy to follow, which reflects a strong editorial presence. From the executive summary through the CSF core functions, your voice helped shape a submission that was both professional and accessible—just what’s needed in a policy and governance context.  
  
Your CyberGEN profile identifies you as a Digital Evidence Analyst, and that makes sense. You bring a structured, analytical mindset to your writing, and it’s clear you know how to turn complex material into something coherent and well-organized. That strength came through not only in the CSF document, but also in your IRP evaluation and policy critique.  
  
You also seem to have used AI tools thoughtfully—probably to help frame ideas or structure outlines—but you didn’t just copy and paste. Instead, you refined and adapted the content in a way that fit your team’s needs. That’s what we’d call an “AI-Augmented” approach. You used the tools to support your work without letting them do the thinking for you.  
  
Overall, you showed a strong understanding of your role, and your contributions played a key part in the professionalism and polish of your team’s final product. Solid work.

## Assignment Objectives – How You Met Them

You didn’t just help your team submit a clean and polished document—you addressed the core goals of the assignment itself. The outline you helped shape:  
- Followed the structure of the NIST CSF 2.0 Release: You covered the key components like the six core functions (Govern, Identify, Protect, Detect, Respond, Recover) in a way that mirrors NIST’s own guidance.  
- Captured organizational goals and posture: Your executive summary and risk prioritization sections helped paint a clear picture of your organization’s cybersecurity position.  
- Assigned and described team roles: The submission includes a clear breakdown of responsibilities across your team.  
- Demonstrated clarity and professionalism: From formatting to word choice, your work met the standards outlined in the rubric—especially in “Professionalism” and “Clarity and Organization.”  
  
So yes—your contribution didn’t just meet expectations for your role. It helped ensure your team’s submission hit every major point on the assignment checklist.